

Miracles

AND OTHER ESSAYS

by

GEORGE WHITEFIELD CHANCE



HV2335
C 36



AMERICAN FOUNDATION
FOR THE BLIND INC.

Recd Jan. 28, 1926.

C. 1

Miracles

AND OTHER ESSAYS

by

GEORGE WHITEFIELD CHANCE



THIRD EDITION

ON SALE:—

JOHN WANAMAKER

PHILADELPHIA NEW YORK CITY

BRENTANO'S

FIFTH AVENUE AT 27TH STREET

NEW YORK CITY

WASHINGTON

CHICAGO

GEO. W. JACOBS & CO.
1628 CHESTNUT ST., PHILA., PA.

INQUIRE FOR IT AT DEPARTMENT STORES AND BOOK-DEALERS IN THE
U. S., CANADA, GREAT BRITAIN AND DOMINIONS

G. W. CHANCE, PUBLISHER
PHILADELPHIA



These Essays are dedicated in honor and
loving remembrance of my Father and Mother,
J. C. and Augusta M. Chance, who signally
honored me in my naming:—

GEORGE WHITEFIELD CHANCE

*Philadelphia
Christmas, 1924*

HV 2335
C 36
copy one

*Copyrighted by G. W. Chance, 1925
Phila., Pa.*

MIRACLES

WHAT IS A MIRACLE?

WHAT IS A MIRACLE? To the ancients, things that seem to a school child of today as quite understandable were miracles. To the thinker, practically everything is a miracle because in the last analysis he appreciates the fact that we really understand nothing as to ultimate causes. We know effects, but what causes such we are generally ignorant of. We know, for instance, how the law of gravitation acts, but what causes it so to act is a mystery. Force, matter, life, electricity, none are understood in the ultimate sense.

As we are accustomed to consider miracles, a miracle may be defined as an apparent suspension of a law or the laws of Nature in the happening of something of which we take cognizance. While we know many laws of Nature there may be other laws which we know nothing of, indeed, this is rather more likely than otherwise, therefore something may happen that we may not be able to account for, due to our limited knowledge, and while such happening may apparently be a miracle, in the true sense it is not, for in the true definition of a Miracle, as is here intended, is meant *an actual suspension of the Laws of Nature*.

We are generally very narrow and bigoted in our concepts and are apt to brand anyone as unscientific who does not agree with OUR knowledge of science.

With God all things are possible; he made all the laws of Nature, so that processes would go on in regular channels as far as man is concerned, that is, he made reliable laws to base work on, but if he chooses to change the action of such laws to meet certain conditions, he can easily do so without disarranging things, in other words, if he could not he would be limited and not an all-powerful God, the Universe would not be in his control and anything might happen and he could not prevent such. It is quite illogical to say or think that God would not or could not change, temporarily, laws to suit his purposes and to perform the *Miracles of the Scriptures*.

It is only our egotism that says that God on earth had no right to or did not change the laws he made to suit his purposes. Again, it is conceivable that he did not change any laws but commanded to his use laws of which we are ignorant. When we build a machine or start a process working, if we desire for any reason to alter the machine or change the process we do so, then why deny the Maker of ALL LAWS the same prerogative.

To limit the Creator to absolutely function through present natural processes in the matter of birth, visibility, etc., to give him no power over life or the elements, to deny his power over bodily ailments or his spiritual power as to good or evil, is to put him on a par with ourselves, which is unthinkable, therefore the materialistic mind that can see in the *Miracles of Christ* a power only to perform acts that any human being, well skilled could bring about, has a very limited idea of God.

The God that created the minds that have developed steamships, put telephones in our homes, radio at our command, bacterins at the call of the physician to cure disease is not limited in power. We deny that power, when he says that he performed miracles through his Son, speaking through him, that are of God, when we say that he worked through the laws we know of, or state that the Miracles of the Bible are merely symbols and not true miracles!

The root of the trouble is that in crass ignorance and egotism some scientists say, "THERE ARE THE LAWS OF THE UNIVERSE WHICH WE HAVE DISCOVERED, now GOD, according to OUR CONCEPT made these laws rigid and unchangeable, hence anything he does *MUST* be according to the laws WE AND OUR SCIENTISTS (who were terribly in error in the past) HAVE DISCOVERED and anyone who dares to venture the opinion that GOD might use OTHER LAWS that *WE* know nothing of, or suspend existing laws or make new ones is quite illogical, according to OUR HIGHLY SCIENTIFIC MINDS, therefore *WE* (being set in our MUTUAL ADMIRATION SOCIETY) brand as unscientific any person who differs from *US* in OUR CONCEPT of GOD." And these poor egotists' opinions will be laughed out of court in a few centuries for some of their "*Scientific Concepts!*"

Which is the illogical one, a person who says he believes in GOD and then CHAINS him to a certain line of action or one who accepts GOD as ALL POWERFUL and thinks of him in terms of POSITIVE KNOWLEDGE, not in terms of our own feeble attempts to solve the mysteries of NATURE, of which we KNOW ABSOLUTELY NOTHING as to the CAUSES OF ANYTHING, in the LAST ANALYSES, but thinks of him as making laws to suit conditions, generally allowing such laws to function without attention, but capable of abolishing them entirely, changing them or making new laws and new creations? To use the term GOD, the all-powerful Creator, the logical process would seem to be to sink self opinions and egotisms in recognizing these attributes of GOD.

The Ten Commandments as a moral entity are a miracle, transferred from GOD to MAN in a miraculous way they have ever worked marvelous effects. There is a thrilling, wonderful showing of them in a picture film. This film when recently shown at a large prison, was essayed on by the prisoners for prizes. Part of the essay of the prisoner who took the First Prize follows: "*The Ten Commandments*—what they mean to me. *All that I am not! . . .* I have for the first time in years acknowledged to myself the existence of a God. . . . I am unspeakably happy to say that it is not too late for me. . . . and have still ample chance to regain lost ground."

THE NECESSITY OF GOD IN THE COSMOGONY OF THE UNIVERSE AS WELL AS IN THE SPIRITUAL LIFE

CREATIVE FACULTY

T is impossible for the human mind to conceive the possibility of atomic or cosmic birth by auto or human effort, because that means making something out of nothing, which to our mentality is inconceivable, hence, per contra, a divine or supernatural power is a necessity for such creation.

UNITY OF GOD

Were it possible to conceive numerous gods logically, as the untutored mind conjured, we would have battles of the Gods and created things would be destroyed. But one master mind can set up, frame laws and run the Universe, else there would be continual trouble.

Man, in his conflict of passions, is warring often at desperately opposite purposes, and it has been said that scientific discoveries may wreck civilization, which may come to pass, although it is scarcely conceivable that God has so loosely joined the atoms that it is possible to dynamically destroy the world by loosening atomic forces, as has been suggested.

REASONING BY ANTITHESSES

We note by the foregoing that logically a Supreme Being is a Scientific necessity. It is inconceivable that natural laws, are self evolved, such a conception is repugnant to the logical mind. *No man can create any physical laws.* Such creation is not admissible in our intellectual concepts, neither can we or are we likely to add to or take away from, in any manner, the action of such laws.

It is seen then that man can neither create matter, force or natural laws and again it is inconceivable that such matter, force or laws are self evolved, so that pure scientific reasoning absolutely and imperatively calls for God, not several gods, but one, who creates.

The evolutionist with his ingenious theories of development from cosmic energy, matter and mind, neglects a fundamental logical process, and that is that if everything comes from an unintelligent cosmic force, such force must have in it all the elements of natural laws, differentiations, germs of life, infinitude of complex processes in numerical combination beyond the limits of mathematics, except as merely symbolically shown in calculus by writing $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$, which carries no real concept with it.

We judge man, the highest product of Creation, yet man cannot wish a great building together in its parts, so how illogical is it to conceive matter or force endowed inherently with processes of natural selection and all the germs of infinite complexes, without an initial creation and guiding force? It would seem that such reasoning is absolutely illogical. Why are crystals beautifully symmetrical, why is beauty the ruling principle of all nature? Why have we a sense of beauty?

EVOLUTION

Why is evolution generally considered upward, why does it not evolve the hideous instead of generally the beautiful? Why do we classify beauty and ugliness and why is the ugly repugnant? Why does the earth turn to make a day of work and a night of sleep and recuperation? Why do the heavenly bodies turn instead of remaining still? Is this all accomplished by evolution? Has matter and force an intelligence to go on to betterment instead of deterioration? Assume evolution as a correct answer to progress, why do things evolve?

Why are there good and bad bacteria and why does the white corpuscle fight the bacteria? Does a crystal always tend upward to higher or greater achievements and does its environment fill it with life germs?

Why does the metal uranium develop to helium, is that evolution or devolution? If evolution depends on environment, as in the theory generally accepted, if for some reason environment is reversed, that is if the processes of nature should go backward, as we reverse a moving picture film, man would devolute.

Assuming reversion, man would breed back to monkey, and finally to mollusk and then to protoplasm and then to nothing, evaporate in thin air, then matter and force would get back to beginnings and then evaporate! But the generally accepted scientific theories state that mass and energy in the universe are fixed and while there is a change in form there is no change in the aggregate, so the evaporation idea is blocked. Hence creation is a necessity for an evolutionary basis.

It would seem quite possible to conceive a world built up by evolutionary processes, and of matter and force elemental, but containing all the potential germs of final forms as the embryo contains the potentialities of after development, hence there is nothing evolutionary in the ultimate concept of the potential element, it is a creative entity, a world in itself and perhaps just as wonderful in its complex as its fruition, in the same manner, life, the embryonic life, apparently crude, is a world of wonderful complexes and its inherent potentialities are not evolutionary. The evolutionary concept does not carry on to the ultimate because it is a rough guess or assumption after it gets beyond the visual limit, and simply makes a gesture and stops.

It is where science stops, religion, or science spiritualized steps in. If a man developed from a fish or clam, when he reached the stage of the entrance of man he was created by God and the spiritual entered in where before it could not find a habitation. Will anyone say that a monkey could be educated to appreciate a grand opera, a painting by Raphael or the Divine Comedy?

The soul is a creative gift, the same as life.

Any evolutionist who rejects Genesis as an unscientific folklore tale is not applying his own arguments to a correct interpretation. Genesis is really the Scientific basis of all evolutionary theories and is the best argument, ex cathedra, for the truth of Scripture, as to its divine origin, it is more scientific than the best theory because it agrees with the latest scientific truths. The time element, twenty-four hours, is a childish argument against Genesis. The concept of the Creator resting twenty-four

earthly hours, may just as well be twenty-four million years or twenty-four billion as time is measured only relatively and to eternity twenty-four hours means about as much as twenty-four billion years.

THE PROGRESS OF MAN A CONTINUING AND CONVINCING PROOF OF GOD

Every scientific discovery, every advancement of man is a proof of his divine origin. Are we not called sons of God and is God not honored in the achievements of man? Would the Divine Being want us to continue Clods?

LIMITS OF KNOWLEDGE

STHERE is a saying, "A little knowledge is a dangerous thing." When the average student first views the great facts of existence and relation of things, he is apt to assume that man is a very superior being and that all things revolve around his ego or its development. There are some who are too really philosophic, however, to take this viewpoint and others there are who never get away from it. It is a commonplace observation to say, "there is no limit to knowledge or achievement," limits there are, that may well be defined.

Many of the "Limits of Knowledge" are as easy to show clearly as are the demonstrations of geometry, and are as surely true. It may be well to note some of the more evident of such by enumeration as follows:

We can never in our present development comprehend:

- 1st. Unlimited direction, that is, the limitlessness of space, linear or volumetric.
- 2nd. Unlimited time, time enduring forever.
- 3rd. Unlimited force and matter.
- 4th. What is the ultimate constituent of either matter or force.
- 5th. The cause of Creation, except by faith or belief, not by reasoning.
- 6th. The Ego.
- 7th. Life.

This list of the unattainable, or incomprehensible, it is well for us to investigate carefully. Its study will avoid much loss of time and effort. Along the same lines, the U. S. Patent Office has ruled out of consideration, the unattainable invention which has been termed "Perpetual -Motion," which classes under the 2nd and 3rd headings of the foregoing list, in a measure.

It is hardly necessary to go into explanations as to the convincing truth of the statements made under headings 1, 2 and 3 of our list, they are axiomatic. To the casual observer or student and perhaps to some advanced thinkers, an argument will occur as to the statements made under heading 4. We hear so much about electrons and miniature worlds revolving in an atom, that we expectantly think we shall soon discover

the last constituent. If we get to what we think is the end, perhaps we say, atoms are simply whirls of electric energy or force. What then is the ultimate electric energy or force, is it something we can feel, see, hear taste or smell or do we know of it simply by its action, what it does? Anyway we consider an atom, in the last analysis, we can never know what it actually is because we can only define it in terms of the senses and they are limited. This reasoning is no harder to understand than the axiomatic truths of headings 1, 2 and 3 of our list, if we approach the subject rightly.

Under the 5th heading, comes the sub-heading of Evolution.

If the world developed from force whirls and these evolved matter and life in various forms, what caused the force and what is force? Blind evolution without a creating and guiding hand is unthinkable, rational or governed evolution must be the Creator's handiwork.

It is said that man is the noblest work of God, wherefore as man plans to modify the forms of matter, so the Creator plans. Man creates nothing but matter and force modifications and can never create anything out of nothing, that is a God given function. Neither can man destroy, he can merely modify, neither create nor destroy, both are unthinkable.

Under the heading of "The Ego," it is as patent as that we can never understand force or the ultimate, that we can never understand "The Ego." The Ego's relations to others, the bodily construction and actions are understandable, but while we can understand perhaps the nerve impulses giving vibratory action to brain cells, yet what gives us thought can never be known. We may say the brain card indexes the impressions and files them for future reference, but what is comprehension, what is a *mental picture*, is it an arrangement of atoms in miniature in the brain like the real picture, if so what makes us see it mentally, is it a mental eye or what, again if it is a mental eye, what is this, in other words what is the Ego back of the senses that translates the waves of sense into consciousness?

Under the last or 7th heading, we touch on the most incomprehensible of all. While our great biologists work, the aspiring mind, on the discovery of cell growth in solution says, "we shall soon know what life is!" Such knowledge appears to the unthinking attainable because the mind reverts to results and not to causes.

If life consists of certain combinations of electric whirls, it is perhaps not logically unthinkable that man might bring about such combinations, so as to produce life, which would be really no more than he does now in sowing the seed and cultivating the fruits, it is only a step, but the Creator of the ultimate force, the whirls, is the *Real Creator of Life*, man in such a case would merely act as the bee, to bring the pollen to the flower. The mystery of why the combination of whirls resulted in Life, might or might not be as great as ever, at least the constituents of the whirls or reasons for their movements would be a mystery of the ultimate, unsolvable. Perhaps Life is a combination of electronic whirls, perhaps not. We can never know what it is ultimately, even if we could produce it.

This article is written primarily to cause clear thinking and to avoid useless effort, to make us see our limitations, and profit by practical work instead of making vague speculations, and to stimulate scientific research

in the logical way. There is enough for the brightest minds to do, in the development of our material prosperity, without travelling in regions of the unattainable, to satisfy legitimate ambitions for all time, at least as we are apt to think of such.

Life, in the ultimate sense, can never be created by man, in the mechanical or chemical sense perhaps it can. I do not consider such a thought impious, or frivolous. The Creator or mind back of all results, man in his present consciousness can never know except through revelation, or by divine miracle.

A belief in the Creator is the reasonable result of all analyses, entirely outside of a belief in the Scriptures; however, the sophomoric mind rejects the overwhelming evidences of truth shown in the sequences of Creation given in Scriptural descriptions, that were inconceivable by man, when written. Most every reasonable man will admit that what we have in life of good is due to the teachings of Christianity. If there is a better system for the world, let our cynical minds bring it out, but not attempt the iconoclastic, without offering a betterment! The cynical mind, with its egotistical conceptions of "half truths," is the bane of our intellectual world of today. If such a mind cannot make the Scriptures and Science agree, it throws away the Scriptures and holds to Science, so called.

At one time, the best minds thought the earth was flat, that the sun and stars were Gods; every generation changes its views, as to many so-called facts, and what we learn today is upset tomorrow. We know how to produce certain results by certain acts, that is mechanical science, not ultimate science, which we can never attain to a knowledge of, constituted as we are, but in very limited degree.

Such considerations as to whether the creation of matter, life, and man, were evolutionary processes, so called, occupying billions of years (terrestrial years), minute fractions of time in the cosmogony of things, or days, polar days or equatorial days or what not, or days of billions of years, makes little difference, in fact evolution is a more difficult and complicated Creation work, if so it is, than the orthodox thought of twenty-four hour terrestrial days Creation. Duration of time is relative in its essence. What does a terrestrial day of twenty-four hours mean to the Creator of days, what a thousand years?

The shallow reasoners who reach eagerly at every so called scientific discovery to discredit religion, are of a type of mind that has produced the bigotry that has cursed man from time immemorial. True religion needs no apologists, science changes constantly and most needs explainers. Truly great philosophy is ever humble, and never approaches the great unknown in egotistical mood.

What is any man, but what a power beyond his ken has made him? Why should a so-called great man be proud; who made him what he is and why was he not born deaf, dumb, blind and in the heart of Africa? Is any man responsible to himself for being of good lineage, black, white or yellow? Should the peach say to the wild cherry, "see how much larger and better I am than you, you miserable wild cherry?"

Each one of us has his place and we are responsible only to take advantage of our opportunities and not to boast. The greatest are those who know how little they really know, and are the humblest!

A CREED IS A CREED

ANY body of men has a right to formulate any set of rules they choose, as to belief and make them a rule of conduct in teaching, provided such are moral. All governments, religions, clubs and organizations are so ruled. Creed is the backbone of social life.

The great trouble with those who are specialists in any line, is that they see only green, red, or their own favorite color.

A little Study in Relativity would do Evolutionists, Materialists, and a lot of *Spiritual Skeptics* a lot of good.

TEN THOUSAND YEARS from now, a lot of people will doubt the existence of many of our great men of today and if *the world lasts a million years, all present history will probably be wiped out*. We have a hard time today to get back four thousand years, and even *Shakespeare* is doubted. *If some Asiatic Race should rule the world in the year 10,000 A. D.*, likely they will so have re-written history that their wise men will prove conclusively that only *an Asiatic discovered America* and Columbus will perhaps never be mentioned.

What is a day? *At the North Pole it is one thing, at the Equator another, that is, as measured by sunlight, and at the Poles exactly, there is No Day of Rotation.* If you are an Evolutionist and Geologist make the Biblical Days of Creation just as long as you like, a million or billion years, and yet to the Creator, they will be as a watch in the night. Get away from that idea that God's Day is a certain little revolution of the earth in twenty-four hours!

Grasp the idea of a God of the Universe to whom a Million years are but as a Grain of Sand on the Beach of Eternity!

Figure it any way you want to, be an Evolutionist or any thing you want to be, but be logical and recognize your poor, weak limited self first of all. Just at this point note that while science has been groping along for ages, trying feebly to know the ultimate, which can never be known, with our finite limitations, doing things which seem wonderful to us, (only relatively however), we must remember that the belief which carries us to the Supreme Intelligence says, that what we learn is the Gift of the Divine Giver.

It is absurd, as ridiculous to say that the world is self evolved, as to say The Capitol at Washington, the "Moses" of Michael Angelo or the Pyramids were self evolved. *Nature in her processes is far more wonderful than the Works of Man*, neither can man do other than work except through Nature. We speak of Synthetic processes, and yet their products are made by Nature's forces guided to work along Natural channels, in other words, we can do nothing Supernatural!

There is just about one thing that we are reasonably sure of in Science, outside of the demonstrations of Geometry, and that is that everything in our universe is in motion and that even so-called inert matter is composed of whirls, and we don't know what the whirls are. Scientific theories change constantly. Electricity used to be spoken of as a fluid, matter as solid. *Science or the knowledge of man, can never know the ultimate cause of anything* and yet it will know probably thousands of times what it knows now.

By the ultimate, I mean such knowledge as, *what* is travel without limit (the Eternal Universe), *what is force, etc.*? We will know much more about the manifestations of force, such as light, heat, electricity, radio waves, *but never the ultimate cause*, or constituents of matter. Matter may be whirls about nuclei but *what are the whirls composed of?* Nothing can be infinitely divided by a finite being, that is what I mean, and to know you must infinitely divide.

Now let's go back to faith. Suppose the Bible is all a Myth, there is no sane man but will credit it with doing great good and teaching good, let's take it then as a good thing, even if a myth, nothing better is offered by anyone. Suppose it is true, then those who do not abide by its teachings are certainly in a bad way. Anyway you take it, it's the best the world has ever been offered.

Just a final thought, Biblical Creation is correct according to the most advanced theories of science. Written long before any knowledge such as the present of the world's history was known, how do you spontaneous generationists account for it? Also the sequence is in agreement with advanced science.

Have your Creed of Belief of Life's Purposes, of Religious Faith, of Divine Truths, founded on the Bible and don't let passing opinion shake these beliefs. The intent of this article is to help stabilize your Creed by showing the changes occurring in what we think we know from day to day.

GENESIS

BIBLICAL VERSE

- 1  IN the beginning God created the heaven and earth.” Kant conceived a Universe developed out of Chaos. (What is Chaos?) He conceived the Universe filled with small particles that segregated into stars, etc. (Who created the particles?) Laplace agreed in a general way with Kant. Chamberlin, Moulton and Salisbury objected to the Laplace theory, maintaining that centrifugal force would destroy the segregations at a certain point. Chamberlin and Moulton brought out the Planetesimal Hypothesis, which assumes the heavenly bodies to be small fragments thrown off by a large central body and segregated. (Who created the Central Body?)
- 2 “And the earth was without form and void, and darkness was upon the face of the deep.” The Kant or Planetesimal Hypothesis agrees with the first part of this verse and it is a well credited scientific theory that dense clouds surrounded the earth in its early days.
- 3 “And God said, Let there be light.” The most scientific hypothesis would seem to be that at a certain time the clouds partially condensed, forming the waters of the oceans, in other words the sunlight broke through the clouds and there was light.

9 "And God said, Let the waters under the heavens be gathered together unto one place, and let the dry land appear."

Note the gathering of the waters described under verse 9. Land emerged from the water due to several causes, the waters were doubtless partially absorbed by the earth and in turn the absorbed waters caused great masses of steam to be generated inside the heated earth, further, unequal pressures raised up portions of continents and crust contractions caused ridges and mountain ranges. These processes are still going on in a lesser degree.

11 "And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass," (Vegetation).

Scientific authorities generally agree that plants came before animal life, this is a reasonable view.

20 "And God said, Let the waters bring forth abundantly."

This fixes the Age of Fishes, as we have in scientific naming.

21 "And God created great whales and every living creature that moveth, which the waters brought forth abundantly, (Geological Age of Amphibians) after their kind (then came Reptiles and Birds, according to Geology) and every winged fowl after his kind."

24 "And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, cattle and creeping thing (small animals) and beast of the earth (other animals) after his kind."

26 "And God said, Let us make man in our image," (Geologically the Age of Man).

Taking the above sequences as found in Genesis, how could there be more perfect harmony between the Biblical Narrative and the latest and most advanced theories of Science?

Science has generally by process of elimination come to the basic truths of Genesis without attempting to make a confirmation of the Biblical Narrative. It has only failed and groped helplessly when it has attempted to explain any Creative Truth in its last analysis.

Man's knowledge is limited to recording historical and other facts in scientific research and using such data and he has accomplished wonders in these respects, but he has been groping helplessly when he failed to recognize the great creative work of God.

The latest cosmic theory assumes a great central body (that must be created) and by the Planetesimal Hypothesis is asserted the belief that nuclei from that body formed the earth. This theory really does not carry us as far back as that of Kant, who started with Chaos, whatever that term really meant. We must start and end with Chaos, if God is not recognized, because the human mind cannot grasp existant intelligent matter and force, such hypotheses seem repugnant to our reason.

Again when Science tries to solve the problem of Life, the almost hopeless futility of such research is well expressed by one of our greatest scientific teachers about as follows: Everyone knows or thinks he knows what Life is, but the quest as to what it really is has baffled the most acute thinkers.

Shaefer in 1912 says, that we must regard it (Life) as having

been produced by a change natural or *supernatural* from material that was lifeless. He recognizes the supernatural alternative as a scientific thought.

All is dark as to Life in its origin without in the last analysis the recognition of the supernatural, as in like manner, as to force, matter, (in its ultimate constituents), the Ego and other problems of the Ultimate. It is a blessing that such is the case, for finite minds cannot fully comprehend the Infinite.

Genesis, the biblical description of the evolution of the earth and life, supposedly written some thirty-four hundred years ago, in a period when objects of Nature were worshipped as gods, when modern science logic was unknown, it is impossible to logically conceive it as otherwise than an inspired account of things. Written in terms the child mind and the philosopher can each understand, it stands to the writer as the greatest logical scientific proof today of the inspiration of the Scriptures.

While scientists still battle as to the details of the formation of the Earth, they agree with Genesis, as to its original partial nebulosity at one time.

GEORGE WHITEFIELD CHANCE, M. A., C. E.

• CREATION •

SCIENTIFIC THEORIES OF CREATION

BIBLICAL ACCOUNT OF CREATION

RECENT		Man	Genesis Verse 26-	Man
CENOZOIC		Man Cattle	24-	Cattle and Beasts
MESOZOIC	Flowers	Mammals Birds	21-	Birds
PALeozoic	Seeds Spores	Reptiles Fishes	20-	Fishes Grasses (Vegetation)
PROTEROZOIC		Trilobites Sharks Mollusks Worms	11-	
ARCHEOZOIC	Protozoa	Sponges		LIFE SYMBOLICAL OF A TREE
ZOIC		Theory as to where the two forms of life may join.		9- "And God said.... Let the dry land appear".
GEOLoGICAL PERIODS-				3- "And God said, Let there be light".
COSMIC				2- "And the earth was without form and void." (and dark) 1- "In the beginning God created the heaven and earth".
NO GENERALLY SCIENTIFIC ACCEPTED THEORY OF CREATION CHAOS UNKNOWN GOD				

This comparison, being of human origin undoubtedly contains errors, in fact the scientific sources from which its data was obtained contains errors, in all human probability, but such errors do not warp the outstanding truth of the comparison as showing a wonderful scientific confirmation of the Scriptural account of Creation. It is well that the Creator did not reveal all the details, since the human mind has thus incentive to probe the secrets of Nature and develop the mind, with the delights and benefits accruing thereto.



CHRIST OF THE ANDES CHRIST THE PRINCE OF PEACE



"SOONER SHALL THESE MOUNTAINS CRUMBLE
INTO DUST THAN CHILE AND ARGENTINA
BREAK THE PEACE TO WHICH THEY HAVE
SWORN TO MAINTAIN AT THE FEET OF
CHRIST THE REDEEMER."

INSCRIPTION (COURTESY OF E.M. NEWMAN-WORLD TRAVELLER)

IF NATIONS WOULD BUT PLANT SUCH MONUMENTS
AS CHILI AND THE ARGENTINE HAVE REARED,
AMID THE SNOW-CAPPED PEAKS ATOP THE
ANDES, ON EVERY NATIONAL BORDER,
WITH PRAYERFUL VOW TO PEACE,
"WARS WOULD BE - NO MORE!"

(GEORGE WHITEFIELD CHANCE)

Insert for "Miracles". G.W. Chance - Author.
Pub. 2011 Middle City
G.O.D. Paper Co.

ETERNITY-AND THE WORKS OF MAN

And there You sit and scrutinize the WORLD,
 You Mystic One, to testily Old EGYPT'S GLORY!
 Beyond, the Monuments of Kings point upward to the Sky.
 And yet, your history sees you but a DOT on ROLLS OF COUNTLESS AGES.

—GEORGE WASHINGTON CARVER



Inset







